

2015 EPP Annual Report

CAEP ID:	16130	AACTE SID:	3200
Institution:	Monmouth University		
Unit:	School of Education		

Section 1. AIMS Profile

After reviewing and/or updating the Educator Preparation Provider's (EPP's) profile in AIMS, check the box to indicate that the information available is accurate.

In AIMS, the following information is current and accurate...

	Agree	Disagree
Contact person	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EPP characteristics	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Program listings	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Section 2. Program Completers

How many candidates completed programs that prepared them to work in preschool through grade 12 settings during Academic Year 2013-2014 ?

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

Number of completers in programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure

Number of completers in programs leading to a degree, endorsement, or some other credential that prepares the holder to serve in P-12 schools (Do not include those completers counted above.)

Total number of program completers 272

Section 3. Substantive Changes

Have any of the following substantive changes occurred at your educator preparation provider or institution/organization during the 2013-2014 academic year?

3.1 Changes in the published mission or objectives of the institution/organization or the EPP

No Change / Not Applicable

3.2 The addition of programs of study at a degree or credential level different from those that were offered when most recently accredited

No Change / Not Applicable

3.3 The addition of courses or programs that represent a significant departure, in terms of either content or delivery, from those that were offered when most recently accredited

No Change / Not Applicable

3.4 A contract with other providers for direct instructional services, including any teach-out agreements

No Change / Not Applicable

Any change that means the EPP no longer satisfies accreditation standards or requirements:

3.5 Change in regional accreditation status

No Change / Not Applicable

3.6 Change in state program approval

No Change / Not Applicable

Section 4. Display of candidate performance data.

Provide a link that demonstrates candidate performance data are public-friendly and prominently displayed on the school, college, or department of education homepage.

Candidate Performance Data:

<https://www.monmouth.edu/school-of-education/documents/2018/06/candidate-performance-data-2015.pdf/>

Section 5. Candidate and Program Measures

For each required measure of program impact, program outcome, or consumer information, evidence must be provided for programs leading to initial teacher certification or licensure. CAEP encourages EPPs to provide information on the optional reporting measures as well.

CAEP's 8 Reporting Measures

- | | |
|---|---|
| 5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development | 5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness |
| 5.2 Results of completer surveys | 5.6 Results of employer surveys, and including retention and employment milestones |
| 5.3 Graduation rates | 5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared |
| 5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements | 5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information |

Yes, a program or programs leading to initial teacher certification is currently being offered.

5.1 Impact on P-12 learning and development. *Report information on candidate performance during pre-service and completer performance during in-service for programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure.*

Which of the following measures of impact on P-12 student learning is the EPP using and planning to use as evidence?

Assessments	Data are available	Data are not available	
		The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4

5.1.1 Candidate performance during pre-service

Unit and lesson plans	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pre-post tests of student learning	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Videos of candidate instruction	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Candidate reflection	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Surveys of P-12 students on candidate performance	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
State-adopted assessment(s) (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Praxis II			
State-designed assessment(s) (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
EPP-designed assessment(s) (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
See Section 4.0			
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.1.2 Completer performance during in-service

Student achievement and/or learning models (e.g., value-added modeling)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
EPP-designed case study	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.2 Results of completer surveys. *Report information on the satisfaction of completers of programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure.*

5.2.1. If "Disagree", go to 5.3

--	--	--

	Agree	Disagree
Completer survey results are available to the EPP.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.2.2 Which of the following descriptions characterize the completer survey(s) available on the preparation of the EPP? (Check all that apply.)

- The completer provides summary ratings of the EPP and its programs.
- The completer provides responses to open-ended questions about the EPP.
- The completer provides a response to questions about their preparation in at least one of the following areas:
 - Content knowledge
 - Instruction and pedagogical content knowledge
 - Teaching diverse P-12 students
 - Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs
 - Classroom management
 - Alignment of teaching with state standards
 - Family and community engagement
 - Assessment of P-12 student learning
 - Other (Specify)

5.2.3 If applicable, after a candidate completes a program, when does the EPP administer its completer surveys? (Check all that apply.)

- At the end of the program
- Between the end of the program and one year after program completion
- Between one and two years after program completion
- Between two and three years after program completion
- Between three and four years after program completion
- More than four years after program completion

5.2.4 Indicate the EPP's access to results of completer surveys and the survey response levels.

Record a response for each row.

Survey administered by	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received
EPP	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	170	170
Individual program	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Institution or organization	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
State	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Other (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		

5.2.5 The EPP can demonstrate that the completer survey is...

	Agree	Disagree
Reliable (produces consistent results about completer satisfaction)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Valid (can make an appropriate inference about completer satisfaction)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical completer responses)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Inclusive of stakeholder interests	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.2.6 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation

based on completer survey results.



5.3 Graduation rates. *Report information on enrollment and candidate progress in programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure, as of September 1, 2014.*

Enter a numeric value for each textbox.

	Academic year a candidate was first enrolled				
	AY 2013-2014	AY 2012-2013	AY 2011-2012	AY 2010-2011	AY 2009-2010
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6
First Time Enrollment. The number of candidates who enrolled for the first time, during a specified academic year.					
Number of candidates who were enrolled for the first time in a program leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure	194	693	748	833	831
Progress in AY 2013-2014. The number of candidates/completers who were recommended for initial teacher certification or licensure during AY 2013-2014. List candidates according to the academic year they were first enrolled.					
Number of candidates who were recommended for a initial teacher certification or licensure during AY 2013-2014	0	0	22	34	59
<i>Example: If 15 candidates were recommended an initial teacher certification in AY 2013-2014, the numbers across the row should sum to 15 (2+10+0+2+1).</i>	2 <i>Two candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2013-2014) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	10 <i>10 candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2012-2013) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	0 <i>Zero candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2011-2012) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	2 <i>Two candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2010-2011) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>	1 <i>One candidates (who first enrolled in AY 2009-2010) were recommended for an initial teacher certification.</i>
Number of candidates/completers who were not recommended for an initial teacher certification or licensure...	194				
Continued in a program	171				
Been counseled out of a program	0				
Withdrawn from a program	23				

5.4 Ability of completers to meet licensing (certification) and any additional state requirements. *Report information on candidate performance on state licensure tests for initial teacher certification or licensure.*

5.4.1 Assessment Pass Rates reported to Title II

	Number taking test	Average scaled score * (This value should be between 0-1.)	Number passing test	Pass rate (%)	Statewide average pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2012-2013	167	0.64	167	100	99
All program completers, 2011-2012	181	0.65	181	100	98

5.4.2 The EPP can demonstrate that the licensure or certification test results are...

	Agree	Disagree
Representative (demonstrates typical candidate or completer performance)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Actionable (provides specific guidance for continuous improvement)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.4.3 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on certification test results. Agree Disagree

5.5 Indicators of teaching effectiveness. *Report information on the availability of measures of teaching effectiveness during in-service for completers of programs leading to an initial teacher certification or licensure*

For which of the following measures of teaching effectiveness does the EPP have data or plan to collect data?

Record a response for each assessment (row).

Assessments	Data are available	Data are not available	
		The EPP has a plan to collect data in the next two years.	The EPP does not currently have a plan to collect data within the next two years.
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
Completer performance during in-service			
Surveys of P-12 students on completer performance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
School district-level teacher evaluation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Employer observations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Employer surveys	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EPP-designed case study	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Other (specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

5.6 Results of employer surveys, including retention and employment milestones. *Report information on the availability of employer satisfaction data for completers employed by school districts.*

5.6.1 If "Disagree", go to 5.7

	Agree	Disagree
Employer survey results are available to the EPP.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.6.2 Which of the following descriptions characterize the employer survey(s) available?

(Check all that apply.)

- The employer provides overall summary ratings of the completer.
- The employer provides responses to open-ended questions about the completer.
- The employer provides a response to questions about the completer's preparation in at least one of the following areas:
 - Collaboration with school-based colleagues and staff
 - Alignment of teaching with state standards
 - Family and community engagement
 - Content/subject matter
 - Instructional and pedagogical content knowledge
 - Development of a safe learning environment
 - Assessment of P-12 student learning
 - Teaching P-12 students with diverse needs
 - Teaching diverse P-12 students
 - Other (Specify)

5.6.3 Indicate the access the EPP has to results from employer surveys and their response levels. (Check all that apply.)

Record a response for each row.

Survey administered by	No access to data	Access to data	Number of completers surveyed	Number of responses received
EPP	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	204	22
Institution or Organization	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
School District	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
State	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Accreditation agency	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		
Other (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>		

5.6.4 The EPP can demonstrate that the employer survey is...

	Agree	Disagree
Reliable (produces consistent results about employer satisfaction)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Valid (can make an appropriate inference about employer satisfaction)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
A measure with a representative sample (demonstrates typical employer responses)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Inclusive of stakeholder interests	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
A measure that produces actionable results (provides specific guidance to the EPP for continuous improvement)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.6.5 The EPP can demonstrate that it has made modifications in its preparation based on employer survey results. Agree Disagree

5.7 Ability of completers to be hired in education positions for which they have prepared. *Report on the availability of employment information for completers of programs leading to an initial teacher licensure or certification, as of September 1, 2013.*

	Agree	Disagree

The EPP has attempted to collect data on the employment status of completers.



5.7.2 What strategies have the EPP used to collect data? (Check all that apply.)

- Completer survey
- Employer survey
- Institutional or organizational department (e.g., Alumni Office) (specify)
School of Education
- Collaboration with other EPPs
- Collaboration with school districts
- Collaboration with state education departments
- Contracted a consultant or organization
- Other (specify)

5.7.3 What challenges have the EPP encountered when collecting data? (Check all that apply.)

- Low response rates
- Inaccurate reporting of employment status
- Maintaining current candidate records
- Privacy issues
- Insufficient resources
- Other (specify)

5.7.4 If "Disagree", then go to 5.8

	Agree	Disagree
--	-------	----------

The EPP has access to information on the employment status of completers



5.7.5 The EPP has access to information on the employment status of completers from which of the following sources? (Check all that apply.)

- Self-report from the completer
- Third party:
 - School district
 - State department (specify)
- Other (specify)

5.7.6 Based on the EPP's available information, complete the chart below on the employment status of candidates who completed their program in Academic Year 2013-2014.

Year of program completion	Total number of completers	Number of completers with each employment status					
		Employed in a position for which they were prepared	Employed in an education position outside of their preparation	Enrolled in higher education	Employed outside of the education field	Not employed	Employment status unknown
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	Column 6	Column 7	Column 8
AY 2013-2014	398	46	1	1	15	24	311

Example: If 60 candidates completed their

program in AY 2013-2014, the numbers across the row should sum to 60 (17+9+8+4+2+20)

60 17 9 0 4 2 20

5.8 Student loan default rates and other consumer information. *Report consumer information for the educator preparation provider.*

Indicate which of the following categories of consumer information the EPP has access to and publicly displays on its website. (Check all that apply.)

Record a response for each row.

Consumer information	No Access to data	Access to data	Publicly displayed data
3-year student loan default rate	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	http://www.monmouth.edu/university/consumer-information.aspx
Average cost of attendance	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	http://www.monmouth.edu/university/consumer-information.aspx
Average beginning salary of a program completer	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
Placement patterns of completers	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	
Other (specify)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	

Section 6. Areas for Improvement, Weaknesses, and/or Stipulations

Summarize EPP activities and the outcomes of those activities as they relate to correcting the areas cited in the last Accreditation Action/Decision Report.

Areas for Improvement related to Standard 4 cited as a result of the last NCATE review:

- | | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| 1. Candidates have limited opportunities to interact with unit and P-12 school faculty from diverse backgrounds. | (ITP) | (ADV) |
|--|-------|-------|

In an attempt to expose our candidates to diverse P-12 faculty, the unit continues to track the diversity of the cooperating teachers. Therefore we are able to strategically assign placements to students so they are exposed to diverse P-12 faculty. The unit will continue to recruit faculty of diverse racial groups. Monmouth University strives to hire racially diverse educators. Monmouth Universities Policies can be found at <https://www.monmouth.edu/general-counsel/policies-and-procedures/>. For at least the past 10 years, every external posting has been either physically mailed or electronically submitted to all of these community agencies. The following community agencies are included: Second Baptist Church in Long Branch, NJ, Hispanic Affairs & Resource Center, Spherion in Tinton Falls, NJ, Monmouth County Employment & Training, Monmouth County Division of Social Services, Puerto Rican Congress, Freehold Learning Center, Labor & Workforce Development, Arc in Red Bank, NJ, and many others.

Section 7. Accreditation Pathway

Continuous Improvement. *Summarize progress toward target level performance on the standard(s) selected.*

The unit is constantly striving to improve upon its assessment system. The unit, with the involvement of its professional community, will continue to regularly evaluate the capacity and effectiveness of its assessment system. The unit has plans to increase our data sharing to the larger community to ensure timely feedback for program and unit improvement. For example, Monmouth University currently has three academies for school professionals: the Superintendents' Academy; the Principals' Academy; and the Special Services Academy. The purpose of these academies is to create a forum for dialogue and an exchange of ideas and experiences. Using the University as a catalyst, the academies provide professional growth opportunities for school leaders and central office personnel. It creates a network of support for colleagues and establishes a collaborative relationship with the University and its

resources. Our goal is to start sharing data on the unit and programs with these three academies in order to reach the greater community, which includes and extends beyond our current partnership districts. The unit also wants to start collecting data on candidates that extends beyond the academic and field experiences. The unit has implemented several professional development seminars for sophomore and junior students, which focus on resume writing, interviewing skills, and other skills needed to be successful in student teaching and the professional world. Our goal is to collect, analyze, and share data from those seminars in order to improve students' knowledge, skills, and dispositions. We also have the goal of improving candidates' success after they have graduated from our programs. The unit has implemented a three-year Alumni Novice Teacher Mentoring Academy, which will help our recent graduates be more successful in their professional lives. Data collected from this academy will be shared with the various advisory councils and will hopefully improve upon the unit's ability to show a strong relationship between students' success in our programs and later in their classrooms and schools. While the unit has numerous plans for collecting new data and sharing it with new constituents, we also have the goal of maintaining high standards with our current assessment system. We must continue to collect data regularly using a consistent assessment instrument. This way, the programs, unit, and advisory councils can see change over a period of years, which is important for long-term improvement. For example, an area that the unit must improve upon is the consistent collection and analyzing of students' dispositions in the advanced programs. Core courses where students will be assessed must be identified and a consistent methodology must be used to collect the data. While the unit has a strong assessment system, over the next several years, the unit hopes to streamline the data collection, data analysis, and data sharing process in order to ensure improvement.

Section 8: Preparer's Authorization

Preparer's authorization. *By checking the box below, I indicate that I am authorized by the EPP to complete the 2015 EPP Annual Report.*

I am authorized to complete this report.

Report Preparer's Information

Name: Tina R Paone

Position: Accreditation Coordinator

Phone: 732-263-5291

E-mail: tpaone@monmouth.edu