

Released:  
**Tuesday, November 12, 2019**

Contact:  
**PATRICK MURRAY**  
732-979-6769 (cell); 732-263-5858 (office)  
[pdmurray@monmouth.edu](mailto:pdmurray@monmouth.edu)  
**Follow on Twitter: @PollsterPatrick**

## **IOWA: FEW CAUCUSGOERS TIED TO 2020 CHOICE**

*Buttigieg joins a crowded pack of front-runners;  
No groundswell of support for Bloomberg*

West Long Branch, NJ – South Bend Mayor Pete Buttigieg has joined former Vice President Joe Biden, Massachusetts Sen. Elizabeth Warren, and Vermont Sen. Bernie Sanders at the top of the leaderboard in the third *Monmouth University Poll* of the 2020 Iowa Democratic caucuses. Buttigieg’s gains since the summer have been across the board, with increasing support coming from nearly every demographic group. Regardless, less than one-third of likely caucusgoers say that they are firmly set on their choice of candidate and most would not be too disappointed if they had to switch their support. The poll also finds that Mike Bloomberg receives a chilly reception among Hawkeye State Democrats as he considers whether to make a late entry into the nomination contest.

Four candidates are currently vying for the top spot in Iowa’s caucuses – Buttigieg (22%), Biden (19%), Warren (18%), and Sanders (13%). Compared to Monmouth’s August poll, Buttigieg has gained 14 points (up from 8%) and Sanders has gained 5 points (up from 8%), while Biden has lost 7 points (down from 26%), and Warren’s standing has changed by only 2 points (20% previously).

Buttigieg has gained ground among every major demographic group since the summer. His support stands at 26% among voters who describe themselves as moderate or conservative, 23% among those who are somewhat liberal, and 15% among those who are very liberal. He is currently in the top tier for both women (24%, to 22% for Biden, and 20% for Warren), and men (20%, to 19% for Sanders and 16% for Warren). Looking at the poll results by age, Buttigieg (26%) is nipping at Biden’s heels (29%) among voters age 65 and older. He has a slight advantage among those age 50 to 64 (24%, to 17% each for Biden and Warren), and is competitive among voters under the age of 50 (19%, to 24% for Warren and 19% for Sanders). Buttigieg leads among college graduates (24%, to 21% for Warren and 15% for

Biden) and is in the top tier among those without a college degree (21%, to 21% for Biden, 18% for Sanders, and 16% for Warren).

“Buttigieg is emerging as a top pick for a wide variety of Iowa Democrats. While he has made nominally bigger gains among older caucusgoers, you really can’t pigeonhole his support to one particular group. He is doing well with voters regardless of education or ideology,” said Patrick Murray, director of the independent Monmouth University Polling Institute.

Other candidates register single digit support among all likely caucusgoers, including Minnesota Sen. Amy Klobuchar (5%), California Sen. Kamala Harris (3%), former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer (3%), entrepreneur Andrew Yang (3%), New Jersey Sen. Cory Booker (2%), and Hawaii Rep. Tulsi Gabbard (2%). Another 7 candidates earn 1% or less. Support for Harris has slipped by 9 points since August (12%), while the remaining candidates are within a point or two of their summer support levels.

Less than 3-in-10 likely caucusgoers (28%) are firmly decided on their candidate choice. Most are open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night, including 16% who say there is a high possibility that they could change their minds, 37% who say there is a moderate possibility, and 8% who say there is only a low possibility of switching candidates. With so many candidates in the field some voters will probably have to switch allegiances if their top choice does not meet the viability threshold for delegate allocation at their caucus site. Barely 3-in-10 likely caucusgoers (29%) say they would be very disappointed if this were to happen. Another 41% would be somewhat disappointed and a sizable 20% say they would not be too disappointed.

“Iowa caucusgoers are used to changing their minds up to the last minute. In fact, some probably even look forward to waiting until caucus night to settle on a candidate. This all translates to a race that is extremely fluid and will probably stay that way up to February 3<sup>rd</sup>,” said Murray.

The poll asked voters to name a second choice candidate. When first and second choices are combined Buttigieg (37%) and Warren (35%) are the leading picks. They are followed by Biden (29%) and Sanders (25%), along with Klobuchar (14%), Harris (9%), Steyer (6%), Booker (4%), Yang (4%), and Gabbard (3%). Among Buttigieg voters, the top second choices are Warren (21%), Biden (20%), and Klobuchar (15%). Among Biden voters, the second slot goes to Buttigieg (22%), Warren (20%), and Klobuchar (17%). Among Warren voters, it’s Sanders (33%), Buttigieg (26%), and Biden (16%). Among Sanders voters, Warren stands alone in second place (46%). Among voters who are currently supporting a candidate not in the top tier – and thus may be more likely to realign on caucus night – second choices include Buttigieg (28%), Sanders (16%), and Warren (15%). *[Note: the maximum margin of error for these results ranges from +/-8% to +/-10% for each candidate group, except Sanders at +/-14%.]*

The poll also found that Buttigieg has the best favorability rating in the field, while ratings for Biden and Warren have declined. Currently, Buttigieg gets a 73% favorable and 10% unfavorable rating

from likely Iowa caucusgoers, which is similar to his 72%-9% rating in August. Warren gets a 69%-23% rating (down from 76%-14%) and Biden gets a 65%-26% rating (down from 72%-20%). Sanders has a 61% favorable and 29% unfavorable rating, which is improved somewhat from August (58%-33%).

Klobuchar also holds a relatively strong rating of 54% favorable and 18% unfavorable (similar to her 51%-18% rating in August). Ratings have dropped, though, for Booker (48%-19% from 58%-16% in August) and Harris (50%-25% from 72%-17% in August). Among other candidates who have qualified for the November debate stage, Yang earns a positive 39%-24% rating and Steyer gets a more divided 33%-29% rating, whereas Gabbard has a negative 21%-38% rating.

<b>2020 DEMOCRATIC FIELD – IOWA PARTY VOTER OPINION</b>			
<b>Net Rating (<i>favorable – unfavorable</i>)</b>			
	<u>November</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>April</u>
Pete Buttigieg	+63	+63	+36
Elizabeth Warren	+46	+62	+47
Joe Biden	+39	+52	+64
Bernie Sanders	+32	+25	+41
Amy Klobuchar	+36	+33	+41
Cory Booker	+29	+42	+38
Kamala Harris	+25	+55	+48
Andrew Yang	+15	n/a	+6
Tom Steyer	+4	+8	n/a
Tulsi Gabbard	-17	n/a	+16
Mike Bloomberg	-31	n/a	n/a

The poll finds that another potential candidate who has recently made rumblings about getting into the race is less popular than those already in the field. Bloomberg, the former New York City mayor, gets a decidedly negative 17% favorable and 48% unfavorable rating from Iowa Democrats. He was also included in the caucus “horse race” question starting with interviewing on November 8. Among the 361 likely caucusgoers contacted after he was added to the poll, just one voter selected him as their top candidate choice and only 1% named him as a second pick.

“Reports suggest that Bloomberg will skip the February contests if he does get into the race. With dismal numbers like these, it’s easy to see why. But I really cannot imagine that Democrats in the Super Tuesday states would be significantly more receptive to him than Iowa voters,” said Murray.

Murray added, “If the race is still wide-open when actual voting begins, it is more likely that Democratic voters will turn to someone who has already been out hustling on the campaign

trail. It makes more sense to pay attention to someone like Amy Klobuchar in this scenario than look for a white knight to come riding to the rescue.”

The poll also finds that 34% of likely caucusgoers say they have seen at least one of the Democratic candidates in person this year. Another 24% say they have not seen any candidates so far but plan to do so before the caucuses and 42% have no plans to see any of the candidates. Buttigieg (17%), Warren (15%), and Sanders (14%) are the most spotted candidates, with Biden (11%), Harris (11%), Klobuchar (10%), and Booker (10%) all being seen by at least 1-in-10 voters.

The *Monmouth University Poll* was conducted by telephone from November 7 to 11, 2019 with 451 Iowa voters who are likely to attend the Democratic presidential caucuses in February 2020, out of 966 registered Democrats and unaffiliated voters who were contacted for the poll. The question results in this release have a margin of error of +/- 4.6 percentage points. The poll was conducted by the Monmouth University Polling Institute in West Long Branch, NJ. Please note that the trend numbers for the August poll have been rebased to exclude voters who were only willing to attend a “virtual” caucus (which is no longer an option).

## QUESTIONS AND RESULTS

(\* Some columns may not add to 100% due to rounding.)

1. If the Democratic caucuses for president were today, would you support – [NAMES WERE ROTATED]? [If UNDECIDED: If you had to support one of these candidates at this moment, who do you lean toward?]

TREND: ( <i>with leaners</i> )	Nov. 2019	Aug. 2019*	April 2019
Pete Buttigieg	22%	8%	9%
Joe Biden	19%	26%	27%
Elizabeth Warren	18%	20%	7%
Bernie Sanders	13%	8%	16%
Amy Klobuchar	5%	3%	4%
Kamala Harris	3%	12%	7%
Tom Steyer	3%	3%	n/a
Andrew Yang	3%	1%	1%
Cory Booker	2%	1%	3%
Tulsi Gabbard	2%	1%	<1%
Steve Bullock	1%	1%	<1%
Julián Castro	1%	<1%	2%
Michael Bennet	<1%	<1%	0%
Mike Bloomberg**	<1%	n/a	n/a
John Delaney	<1%	1%	1%
Marianne Williamson	<1%	0%	0%
Joe Sestak	0%	0%	n/a
(VOL) Other	0%	4%	10%
(VOL) No one	0%	<1%	1%
(VOL) Undecided	8%	10%	12%
(n)	(451)	(327)	(351)

\* Excludes “virtual-only” caucus attendees from August poll.

\*\* Note: Bloomberg was added on 11/8

2. Are you firmly decided on your candidate choice or are you open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night? [If OPEN: Would you rate the possibility of supporting a different candidate as high, moderate, or low?]

	<b>Nov. 2019</b>
Firmly decided	<b>28%</b>
Open, high possibility	<b>16%</b>
Open, moderate possibility	<b>37%</b>
Open, low possibility	<b>8%</b>
(VOL) Don't know	<b>2%</b>
No first choice (from Q1)	<b>8%</b>
(n)	<b>(451)</b>

3. Who would be your second choice if you had to make one?

TREND:	Nov. 2019	Aug. 2019*	April 2019
Elizabeth Warren	<b>17%</b>	18%	10%
Pete Buttigieg	<b>15%</b>	10%	6%
Bernie Sanders	<b>12%</b>	7%	8%
Joe Biden	<b>10%</b>	12%	12%
Amy Klobuchar	<b>9%</b>	2%	3%
Kamala Harris	<b>6%</b>	13%	12%
Tom Steyer	<b>3%</b>	3%	n/a
Cory Booker	<b>2%</b>	5%	6%
Mike Bloomberg	<b>1%</b>	n/a	n/a
Julián Castro	<b>1%</b>	1%	1%
Tulsi Gabbard	<b>1%</b>	2%	2%
Andrew Yang	<b>1%</b>	2%	<1%
Marianne Williamson	<b>1%</b>	<1%	1%
Michael Bennet	<b>&lt;1%</b>	<1%	0%
Steve Bullock	<b>&lt;1%</b>	1%	0%
John Delaney	<b>0%</b>	1%	<1%
Joe Sestak	<b>0%</b>	0%	n/a
(VOL) Other	<b>0%</b>	5%	12%
(VOL) No one	<b>3%</b>	3%	10%
(VOL) Undecided	<b>19%</b>	18%	18%
(n)	<b>(451)</b>	(327)	(351)

\* Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

4. If you had to go with another candidate on caucus night because your first choice did not meet the viability threshold, would you feel very disappointed, somewhat disappointed, or not too disappointed?

	<b>Nov. 2019</b>
Very disappointed	<b>29%</b>
Somewhat disappointed	<b>41%</b>
Not too disappointed	<b>20%</b>
(VOL) Don't know	<b>2%</b>
No first choice (from Q1)	<b>8%</b>
(n)	<b>(451)</b>

5. I'm going to read you the names of some people who are running for president in 2020. Please tell me if your general impression of each is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion. If you have not heard of the person, just let me know. [NAMES WERE ROTATED]

TREND:	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Not heard of	(n)
Former Vice President Joe Biden	<b>65%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	72%	20%	8%	0%	(327)
-- April 2019	78%	14%	8%	0%	(351)
Vermont Senator Bernie Sanders	<b>61%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	58%	33%	9%	0%	(327)
-- April 2019	67%	26%	6%	0%	(351)
Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren	<b>69%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	76%	14%	8%	1%	(327)
-- April 2019	67%	20%	11%	3%	(351)
South Bend, Indiana Mayor Pete Buttigieg	<b>73%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	72%	9%	15%	4%	(327)
-- April 2019	45%	9%	22%	24%	(351)
California Senator Kamala Harris	<b>50%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	72%	17%	10%	1%	(327)
-- April 2019	61%	13%	16%	10%	(351)
Minnesota Senator Amy Klobuchar	<b>54%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	51%	18%	26%	5%	(327)
-- April 2019	51%	10%	23%	16%	(351)
New Jersey Senator Cory Booker	<b>48%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	58%	16%	25%	1%	(327)
-- April 2019	54%	16%	18%	11%	(351)
Entrepreneur Andrew Yang	<b>39%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
-- April 2019	15%	9%	34%	42%	(351)
Hawaii Congresswoman Tulsi Gabbard	<b>21%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
-- April 2019	29%	13%	31%	28%	(351)
Former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer	<b>33%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>(451)</b>
-- August 2019*	33%	25%	26%	15%	(327)
-- April 2019	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Former New York Mayor Mike Bloomberg**	<b>17%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>(361)</b>
-- August 2019*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
-- April 2019	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

\* Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

\*\* Note: Bloomberg was added on 11/8 (n=361, moe=5.2%)

6. Have you seen any of the Democratic candidates for president in person this year, or not? [If NOT: Do you plan to see any of them in person before the February caucuses?]

	Nov. 2019
Yes	<b>34%</b>
No, but plan to	<b>24%</b>
No, don't plan to	<b>42%</b>
(n)	<b>(451)</b>

6A. Who have you seen in person so far? [LIST WAS NOT READ] [Note: Results add to more than 100% because multiple responses were accepted]

TREND:	Nov. 2019
Joe Biden	11%
Bernie Sanders	14%
Elizabeth Warren	15%
Pete Buttigieg	17%
Kamala Harris	11%
Amy Klobuchar	10%
Cory Booker	10%
Julián Castro	7%
John Delaney	6%
Tulsi Gabbard	6%
Andrew Yang	8%
Marianne Williamson	4%
Joe Sestak	4%
Steve Bullock	6%
Michael Bennet	6%
Tom Steyer	7%
Mike Bloomberg	1%
(VOL) Other	1%
(VOL) No one	66%
(VOL) No answer	0%
(n)	(451)

7. Will this be your first presidential caucus or have you attended the Iowa presidential caucuses in the past? [If ATTENDED IN PAST: Was that a Republican or a Democratic caucus, or both?]

	Nov. 2019	Aug. 2019*
First caucus	14%	9%
Attended Republican caucus in past	2%	1%
Attended Democratic caucus in past	73%	80%
Attended both caucuses in the past	11%	10%
(VOL) Don't Know	1%	1%
(n)	(451)	(327)

\* Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

## METHODOLOGY

The *Monmouth University Poll* was sponsored and conducted by the Monmouth University Polling Institute from November 7 to 11, 2019 with a statewide random sample of 966 Iowa voters drawn from a list of registered Democratic and unaffiliated voters who voted in at least one of the last two state primary elections or the 2018 general election or have registered to vote since November 2018. This includes 434 contacted by a live interviewer on a landline telephone and 532 contacted by a live interviewer on a cell phone, in English. Results are based on 451 voters who are likely to attend the Democratic presidential caucuses in February 2020. Monmouth is responsible for all aspects of the survey design, data weighting and analysis. The full sample is weighted for age, gender, race, and education based on state voter registration list and U.S. Census information (CPS 2018 supplement). Data collection support provided by Braun Research (field) and Aristotle (voter sample). For results based on the sample of likely Democratic caucusgoers, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling has a maximum margin of plus or minus 4.6 percentage points (unadjusted for sample design). Sampling error can be larger for sub-groups (see table below). In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

**DEMOGRAPHICS (weighted)**

42% Male  
58% Female

22% 18-34  
21% 35-49  
27% 50-64  
30% 65+

93% White, non-Hispanic  
7% Other race, Hispanic

56% No degree  
44% 4 year degree

**MARGIN OF ERROR**

		<i>unweighted sample</i>	<i>moe (+/-)</i>
<b>LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS</b>		451	4.6%
<b>POLITICAL IDEOLOGY</b>	<i>Very liberal</i>	103	9.7%
	<i>Somewhat liberal</i>	99	9.9%
	<i>Moderate, conservative</i>	237	6.4%
<b>GENDER</b>	<i>Male</i>	181	7.3%
	<i>Female</i>	270	6.0%
<b>AGE</b>	<i>18-49</i>	157	7.8%
	<i>50-64</i>	123	8.8%
	<i>65+</i>	166	7.6%
<b>COLLEGE GRADUATE</b>	<i>No degree</i>	182	7.3%
	<i>4 year degree</i>	266	6.0%
<b>INCOME</b>	<i>&lt;\$50K</i>	123	8.8%
	<i>\$50 to &lt;100K</i>	160	7.8%
	<i>\$100K+</i>	130	8.6%

###



Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
1. If the Democratic caucuses for president were today, would you support...[READ LIST]? [with leaners] [17 names were read. Names appearing below received support from at least one poll respondent. See press release for full list of candidates]	Joe Biden	19%	12%	10%	26%	13%	22%	12%	17%	29%
	Bernie Sanders	13%	22%	19%	7%	19%	8%	19%	8%	9%
	Elizabeth Warren	18%	35%	20%	10%	16%	20%	24%	17%	12%
	Pete Buttigieg	22%	15%	23%	26%	20%	24%	19%	24%	26%
	Kamala Harris	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	Amy Klobuchar	5%	0%	7%	7%	2%	7%	1%	12%	5%
	Cory Booker	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%
	Julián Castro	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%
	John Delaney	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Tulsi Gabbard	2%	0%	1%	3%	4%	0%	3%	2%	0%
	Andrew Yang	3%	2%	0%	4%	5%	1%	4%	2%	1%
	Marianne Williamson	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Steve Bullock	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%
	Michael Bennet	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Tom Steyer	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%
Mike Bloomberg	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Undecided	8%	2%	8%	9%	7%	8%	5%	9%	10%	

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
1. If the Democratic caucuses for president were today, would you support...[READ LIST]? [with leaners] [17 names were read. Names appearing below received support from at least one poll respondent. See press release for full list of candidates]	Joe Biden	21%	15%	18%	18%	17%
	Bernie Sanders	18%	7%	17%	11%	11%
	Elizabeth Warren	16%	21%	18%	21%	17%
	Pete Buttigieg	21%	24%	16%	22%	28%
	Kamala Harris	3%	4%	4%	5%	2%
	Amy Klobuchar	3%	7%	7%	4%	4%
	Cory Booker	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%
	Julián Castro	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%
	John Delaney	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Tulsi Gabbard	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%
	Andrew Yang	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%
	Marianne Williamson	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Steve Bullock	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	Michael Bennet	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Tom Steyer	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%
Mike Bloomberg	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Undecided	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%	

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
2. Are you firmly decided on your candidate choice or are you open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night? [If "open" ASK:] Would you rate the possibility of supporting a different candidate as high, moderate, or low?	Firmly decided	28%	40%	30%	23%	29%	28%	29%	26%	29%
	Open, high possibility	16%	11%	20%	17%	21%	13%	13%	20%	18%
	Open, moderate possibility	37%	34%	28%	43%	34%	40%	39%	35%	36%
	Open, low possibility	8%	8%	13%	7%	7%	9%	12%	8%	4%
	[VOL] Dont Know	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	No first choice [Q1]	8%	2%	8%	9%	7%	8%	5%	9%	10%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
2. Are you firmly decided on your candidate choice or are you open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night? [If "open" ASK:] Would you rate the possibility of supporting a different candidate as high, moderate, or low?	Firmly decided	30%	26%	35%	28%	21%
	Open, high possibility	16%	16%	14%	16%	20%
	Open, moderate possibility	35%	39%	33%	41%	35%
	Open, low possibility	9%	8%	9%	5%	13%
	[VOL] Dont Know	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	No first choice [Q1]	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
3. Who would be your second choice if you had to make one?	Joe Biden	10%	8%	7%	13%	9%	11%	8%	12%	11%
	Bernie Sanders	12%	22%	9%	8%	12%	11%	17%	11%	6%
	Elizabeth Warren	17%	21%	22%	14%	18%	16%	25%	12%	11%
	Pete Buttigieg	15%	11%	20%	15%	14%	16%	14%	16%	16%
	Kamala Harris	6%	8%	4%	5%	6%	5%	8%	5%	2%
	Amy Klobuchar	9%	7%	5%	11%	7%	10%	3%	9%	15%
	Cory Booker	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%
	Julián Castro	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Tulsi Gabbard	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Andrew Yang	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Marianne Williamson	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	Steve Bullock	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%
	Michael Bennet	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Tom Steyer	3%	2%	0%	4%	3%	3%	0%	4%	6%
	Mike Bloomberg	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	[VOL] No one	3%	3%	5%	2%	6%	1%	5%	1%	2%
	[VOL] Dont know	19%	8%	18%	22%	20%	18%	14%	23%	21%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
3. Who would be your second choice if you had to make one?	Joe Biden	10%	10%	7%	11%	10%
	Bernie Sanders	13%	10%	16%	14%	8%
	Elizabeth Warren	19%	15%	19%	17%	18%
	Pete Buttigieg	14%	16%	14%	12%	19%
	Kamala Harris	3%	9%	3%	6%	9%
	Amy Klobuchar	8%	9%	8%	8%	9%
	Cory Booker	1%	4%	1%	2%	3%
	Julián Castro	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%
	Tulsi Gabbard	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
	Andrew Yang	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%
	Marianne Williamson	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Steve Bullock	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	Michael Bennet	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	Tom Steyer	4%	1%	4%	3%	1%
	Mike Bloomberg	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%
[VOL] No one	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	
[VOL] Dont know	19%	18%	19%	18%	17%	

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
4. If you had to go with another candidate on caucus night because your first choice did not meet the viability threshold, would you feel very disappointed, somewhat disappointed, or not too disappointed?	Very disappointed	29%	41%	26%	25%	27%	30%	30%	28%	28%
	Somewhat disappointed	41%	36%	42%	44%	40%	42%	41%	44%	38%
	Not too disappointed	20%	20%	23%	20%	24%	17%	22%	20%	19%
	[VOL] Dont Know	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	4%
	No first choice [Q1]	8%	2%	8%	9%	7%	8%	5%	9%	10%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
4. If you had to go with another candidate on caucus night because your first choice did not meet the viability threshold, would you feel very disappointed, somewhat disappointed, or not too disappointed?	Very disappointed	31%	27%	37%	24%	27%
	Somewhat disappointed	41%	41%	38%	43%	43%
	Not too disappointed	19%	21%	16%	24%	20%
	[VOL] Dont Know	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	No first choice [Q1]	7%	9%	7%	7%	9%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5A. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former Vice President Joe Biden?	Favorable	65%	57%	60%	71%	55%	73%	53%	71%	77%	67%
	Unfavorable	26%	35%	31%	20%	35%	19%	35%	20%	17%	27%
	No opinion	9%	8%	9%	9%	10%	8%	12%	9%	5%	7%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5A. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former Vice President Joe Biden?	Favorable	63%	59%	64%	70%
	Unfavorable	24%	26%	27%	26%
	No opinion	12%	14%	8%	5%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod. Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5B. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Vermont Senator Bernie Sanders?	Favorable	61%	80%	75%	48%	65%	58%	72%	58%	49%	63%
	Unfavorable	29%	15%	19%	40%	25%	32%	20%	28%	45%	25%
	No opinion	10%	5%	6%	12%	10%	10%	9%	14%	7%	12%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5B. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Vermont Senator Bernie Sanders?	Favorable	59%	66%	61%	61%
	Unfavorable	34%	27%	28%	31%
	No opinion	7%	7%	11%	9%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod. Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5C. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren?	Favorable	69%	87%	78%	57%	65%	71%	74%	62%	66%	71%
	Unfavorable	23%	8%	18%	31%	26%	20%	21%	23%	26%	20%
	No opinion	8%	4%	4%	12%	9%	8%	4%	15%	8%	8%
	Not heard of	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5C. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren?	Favorable	66%	70%	65%	73%
	Unfavorable	26%	20%	24%	23%
	No opinion	8%	9%	11%	4%
	Not heard of	0%	1%	0%	0%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod. Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5D. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: South Bend, Indiana Mayor Pete Buttigieg?	Favorable	73%	70%	77%	72%	69%	75%	67%	73%	79%	69%
	Unfavorable	10%	13%	10%	10%	14%	8%	11%	10%	9%	10%
	No opinion	14%	13%	11%	15%	15%	13%	17%	14%	11%	17%
	Not heard of	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	2%	4%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5D. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: South Bend, Indiana Mayor Pete Buttigieg?	Favorable	77%	66%	70%	83%
	Unfavorable	11%	15%	13%	3%
	No opinion	10%	15%	13%	12%
	Not heard of	2%	4%	4%	2%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5E. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: California Senator Kamala Harris?	Favorable	50%	54%	59%	45%	50%	50%	47%	53%	51%	43%
	Unfavorable	25%	21%	19%	28%	26%	24%	22%	28%	26%	29%
	No opinion	23%	23%	20%	24%	24%	22%	29%	16%	21%	24%
	Not heard of	2%	2%	2%	2%	0%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5E. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: California Senator Kamala Harris?	Favorable	60%	48%	48%	57%
	Unfavorable	19%	27%	28%	17%
	No opinion	20%	21%	22%	25%
	Not heard of	1%	4%	2%	1%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5F. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Minnesota Senator Amy Klobuchar?	Favorable	54%	56%	51%	55%	50%	57%	38%	56%	74%	53%
	Unfavorable	18%	23%	17%	16%	22%	15%	20%	20%	14%	18%
	No opinion	22%	19%	24%	22%	20%	22%	32%	18%	11%	22%
	Not heard of	6%	3%	9%	7%	7%	6%	10%	6%	2%	7%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5F. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Minnesota Senator Amy Klobuchar?	Favorable	55%	51%	53%	59%
	Unfavorable	18%	25%	15%	15%
	No opinion	21%	17%	25%	20%
	Not heard of	5%	7%	7%	6%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5G. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: New Jersey Senator Cory Booker?	Favorable	48%	61%	58%	39%	46%	50%	44%	46%	57%	40%
	Unfavorable	19%	12%	16%	23%	22%	16%	15%	25%	18%	23%
	No opinion	28%	25%	22%	33%	28%	29%	33%	27%	23%	33%
	Not heard of	4%	2%	5%	5%	4%	5%	8%	2%	2%	5%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5G. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: New Jersey Senator Cory Booker?	Favorable	60%	45%	48%	55%
	Unfavorable	13%	16%	21%	17%
	No opinion	23%	34%	26%	26%
	Not heard of	4%	5%	6%	2%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5H. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Entrepreneur Andrew Yang?	Favorable	39%	48%	43%	33%	46%	34%	48%	29%	32%	41%
	Unfavorable	24%	21%	26%	25%	24%	24%	14%	26%	38%	25%
	No opinion	29%	26%	27%	32%	26%	32%	32%	32%	24%	27%
	Not heard of	7%	5%	5%	9%	4%	10%	6%	12%	6%	8%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5H. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Entrepreneur Andrew Yang?	Favorable	37%	41%	38%	41%
	Unfavorable	23%	19%	29%	21%
	No opinion	32%	34%	24%	31%
	Not heard of	7%	6%	8%	7%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5I. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Hawaii Congresswoman Tulsi Gabbard?	Favorable	21%	20%	21%	20%	28%	15%	19%	22%	20%	20%
	Unfavorable	38%	50%	40%	32%	32%	42%	32%	36%	48%	34%
	No opinion	31%	23%	27%	36%	32%	30%	35%	33%	25%	32%
	Not heard of	11%	7%	12%	12%	8%	13%	14%	9%	7%	13%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5I. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Hawaii Congresswoman Tulsi Gabbard?	Favorable	21%	20%	20%	22%
	Unfavorable	42%	36%	38%	38%
	No opinion	29%	29%	32%	34%
	Not heard of	8%	16%	10%	5%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5J. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer?	Favorable	33%	30%	34%	32%	33%	33%	23%	34%	45%	35%
	Unfavorable	29%	35%	27%	28%	31%	28%	30%	27%	30%	27%
	No opinion	30%	28%	30%	32%	31%	30%	34%	33%	23%	31%
	Not heard of	8%	7%	9%	8%	6%	9%	13%	6%	2%	7%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5J. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer?	Favorable	29%	28%	34%	35%
	Unfavorable	32%	33%	27%	27%
	No opinion	29%	29%	32%	31%
	Not heard of	10%	10%	7%	7%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5K. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former New York Mayor Mike Bloomberg?	Favorable	17%	18%	16%	16%	18%	15%	10%	22%	19%	16%
	Unfavorable	48%	55%	47%	45%	53%	44%	47%	50%	47%	47%
	No opinion	29%	22%	31%	31%	24%	33%	30%	23%	34%	29%
	Not heard of	7%	5%	7%	8%	5%	8%	13%	4%	1%	7%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5K. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former New York Mayor Mike Bloomberg?	Favorable	18%	15%	12%	24%
	Unfavorable	48%	48%	47%	47%
	No opinion	28%	29%	32%	26%
	Not heard of	6%	8%	9%	3%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
6. Have you seen any of the Democratic candidates for president in person this year, or not? [IF NOT: Do you plan to see any of them in person before the February caucuses?]	Yes	34%	47%	41%	26%	34%	34%	33%	38%	33%	27%
	No, but plan to	24%	19%	18%	29%	20%	27%	25%	21%	25%	30%
	No, dont plan to	42%	34%	41%	45%	46%	39%	42%	40%	43%	44%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
6. Have you seen any of the Democratic candidates for president in person this year, or not? [IF NOT: Do you plan to see any of them in person before the February caucuses?]	Yes	44%	34%	37%	33%
	No, but plan to	16%	28%	20%	24%
	No, dont plan to	40%	38%	43%	42%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
6A. Who have you seen in person so far? [DO NOT READ LIST. ACCEPT MULTIPLE ANSWERS.]	Joe Biden	11%	15%	12%	9%	10%	11%	9%	14%	10%
	Bernie Sanders	14%	27%	18%	8%	19%	11%	18%	17%	8%
	Elizabeth Warren	15%	30%	18%	8%	12%	17%	18%	18%	9%
	Pete Buttigieg	17%	25%	22%	13%	15%	19%	17%	19%	17%
	Kamala Harris	11%	21%	13%	5%	10%	12%	11%	10%	12%
	Amy Klobuchar	10%	14%	14%	7%	10%	11%	10%	12%	10%
	Cory Booker	10%	16%	12%	6%	9%	11%	10%	12%	8%
	Julián Castro	7%	14%	10%	3%	6%	8%	8%	8%	5%
	John Delaney	6%	10%	9%	3%	4%	7%	6%	7%	5%
	Tulsi Gabbard	6%	7%	9%	4%	7%	5%	7%	6%	4%
	Andrew Yang	8%	14%	8%	5%	9%	7%	10%	7%	5%
	Marianne Williamson	4%	6%	6%	3%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%
	Joe Sestak	4%	9%	5%	2%	4%	5%	6%	4%	3%
	Steve Bullock	6%	11%	8%	4%	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%
	Michael Bennet	6%	12%	7%	4%	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%
	Tom Steyer	7%	12%	9%	4%	5%	8%	8%	7%	6%
	Mike Bloomberg	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%
	Other	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%
	No answer	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%
	No one	66%	53%	59%	74%	66%	66%	67%	62%	67%



Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 11/12/19

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
6A. Who have you seen in person so far? [DO NOT READ LIST. ACCEPT MULTIPLE ANSWERS.]	Joe Biden	7%	16%	10%	13%	8%
	Bernie Sanders	9%	21%	14%	18%	12%
	Elizabeth Warren	8%	25%	13%	20%	13%
	Pete Buttigieg	12%	24%	14%	22%	17%
	Kamala Harris	5%	19%	10%	14%	11%
	Amy Klobuchar	5%	17%	12%	12%	7%
	Cory Booker	4%	17%	9%	13%	8%
	Julián Castro	3%	13%	7%	9%	5%
	John Delaney	2%	10%	6%	7%	5%
	Tulsi Gabbard	4%	8%	4%	9%	3%
	Andrew Yang	4%	13%	8%	10%	6%
	Marianne Williamson	2%	8%	3%	6%	4%
	Joe Sestak	2%	7%	5%	5%	3%
	Steve Bullock	3%	11%	7%	6%	5%
	Michael Bennet	4%	9%	7%	7%	5%
	Tom Steyer	3%	12%	6%	10%	5%
	Mike Bloomberg	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%
	Other	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	No answer	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	No one	73%	56%	66%	63%	67%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
7. Will this be your first presidential caucus or have you attended the Iowa presidential caucuses in the past? [IF ATTENDED: Was that a Republican or a Democratic caucus, or both?]	First caucus	14%	15%	9%	14%	12%	15%	20%	13%	4%
	Attended Rep caucus	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%
	Attended Dem caucus	73%	77%	80%	69%	73%	72%	67%	68%	85%
	Attended both	11%	7%	7%	14%	12%	9%	8%	16%	9%
	[VOL] Dont Know	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
7. Will this be your first presidential caucus or have you attended the Iowa presidential caucuses in the past? [IF ATTENDED: Was that a Republican or a Democratic caucus, or both?]	First caucus	15%	12%	17%	15%	11%
	Attended Rep caucus	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%
	Attended Dem caucus	75%	70%	73%	71%	71%
	Attended both	7%	15%	8%	10%	14%
	[VOL] Dont Know	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%