

Released:
Monday, January 13, 2020

Contact:
PATRICK MURRAY
732-979-6769 (cell); 732-263-5858 (office)
pdmurray@monmouth.edu
Follow on Twitter: @PollsterPatrick

IOWA: DEM 2020 RACE CONTINUES TO SHIFT

Support is firming up, but many still open to changing their support

West Long Branch, NJ – Former Vice President Joe Biden, former South Bend Mayor Pete Buttigieg, Vermont Sen. Bernie Sanders, and Massachusetts Sen. Elizabeth Warren continue to top the leaderboard in Iowa, although their relative positions have shifted in the fourth **Monmouth University Poll** of likely Iowa Democratic caucusgoers. Minnesota Sen. Amy Klobuchar has also made gains from prior polls, but remains outside of the top tier of contenders for now. A majority of supporters of the top tier candidates indicate they are set on their choices, but a sizable number say there is at least a moderate possibility they could still change their minds. The poll also finds that 6-in-10 likely caucusgoers oppose switching their state’s presidential nominating contest to a primary election.

Four candidates are currently vying for the top spot in next month’s Iowa caucuses – Biden (24%), Sanders (18%), Buttigieg (17%), and Warren (15%). Compared with Monmouth’s November poll, Biden has gained 5 points (up from 19%) and Sanders has gained 5 points (up from 13%), while Buttigieg has lost 5 points (down from 22%) and Warren has declined by 3 points (down from 18%). Klobuchar is knocking on the door of the top tier with 8% support in the current poll (up from 5% in November).

Most of the demographic shifts since November are within the poll’s margin of error, but there was a particularly notable swing among voters age 65 and older away from Buttigieg (11% now, 26% in November) and toward Biden (44% now, 29% in November). Klobuchar has also gained support among these older voters (13% now, 5% in November).

“A plurality of older voters line up behind Biden, but others in that age group seem to be looking for a fresher face. They appear to be split between Buttigieg and Klobuchar right now,” said Patrick Murray, director of the independent Monmouth University Polling Institute.

Other candidates register single digit support among likely caucusgoers, including New Jersey Sen. Cory Booker (4%), former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer (4%), entrepreneur Andrew Yang (3%),

and Hawaii Rep. Tulsi Gabbard (2%). Colorado Sen. Michael Bennet, former Maryland Rep. John Delaney, and former Massachusetts Gov. Deval Patrick earn less than 1% support. *[Note: Former New York City Mayor Mike Bloomberg was not included in the poll because he has indicated he will not participate in the Iowa caucus process.]* [With supporters of Booker – who dropped out after the poll was conducted – assigned to their second choice, the race stands at Biden 25%, Sanders 18%, Buttigieg 17%, Warren 16%, and Klobuchar 9%.]

A growing number of Iowa caucusgoers (43%) are firmly decided on their candidate choice. This is up from 28% who said the same in November. Another 13% say they are open to changing their minds but there is only a low possibility they will actually switch their support (up from 8% in November). Currently, 4 in 10 voters still say there is at least a moderate chance they will support a different candidate on caucus night, including 11% who say this is a high possibility (down from 16% in November) and 28% who say this is a moderate possibility (down from 37%). In November, Sanders was the only leading candidate with a majority of his supporters saying their choice was basically set. Now he is joined by the other top tier contenders, with a majority of their backers registering solid support, starting with Biden at 65% who are firm or have a low possibility of switching, Sanders at 61%, Buttigieg 59%, and Warren at 53%.

“Even among the top contenders, about 4 in 10 supporters are still open to changing their initial preference by caucus night. There are only three weeks to go, but this race is far from over,” said Murray.

The poll asked caucusgoers to name a candidate they have in mind as a second choice. When these are combined with initial preferences, Warren (38%), Biden (34%), Buttigieg (32%), and Sanders (32%) are bunched together. They are trailed by Klobuchar (18%), Steyer (8%), Booker (7%), Yang (7%), and Gabbard (3%) as either a first or second choice.

Candidates are not eligible for convention delegates unless they reach a 15% viability threshold at individual caucus sites. Only four candidates currently exhibit statewide support at this level. When likely caucusgoers are asked to choose from among these four names only, the race is very close with Biden at 28%, Buttigieg at 25%, Sanders at 24%, and Warren at 16%.

“The Iowa Democratic Party is supposed to report multiple results on caucus night, adding an initial preference count to the delegate tally they have always released. We could potentially see a clear winner in one set of numbers and a much muddier picture in the other,” said Murray.

Candidate favorability rating for Buttigieg has declined slightly since Monmouth’s last Iowa poll, now standing at 71% favorable and 17% unfavorable (compared with 73%-10% in November). Biden’s net rating has remained fairly steady at 66% favorable and 28% unfavorable (compared with 65%-26%). However, six other candidates included in the poll saw their net ratings go up. These include Warren at 73%-19% (from 69%-23% in November), Klobuchar at 63%-16% (from 54%-18%), Sanders at 70%-22%

(from 61%-29%), Booker at 58%-16% (from 48%-19%), Yang at 57%-20% (from 39%-24%), and Steyer at 46%-32% (from 33%-29%).

2020 DEMOCRATIC FIELD – IOWA PARTY VOTER OPINION				
Net Rating (<i>favorable – unfavorable</i>)				
	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>Nov. '19</u>	<u>Aug. '19</u>	<u>Apr. '19</u>
Pete Buttigieg	+54	+63	+63	+36
Elizabeth Warren	+54	+46	+62	+47
Bernie Sanders	+48	+32	+25	+41
Amy Klobuchar	+47	+36	+33	+41
Cory Booker	+42	+29	+42	+38
Joe Biden	+38	+39	+52	+64
Andrew Yang	+37	+15	<i>n/a</i>	+6
Tom Steyer	+14	+4	+8	<i>n/a</i>

Health care remains the top concern for Iowa caucusgoers in deciding who to support for the Democratic nomination. Currently, 45% name this as one of the most important issues in their vote, which is down slightly from 56% in August. Other important issues include beating Donald Trump at 18% (15% in August) and climate change at 18% (18% in August). Foreign policy related concerns are also in the second level of issue importance at 16%, which is up from 6% in August. On the other hand, immigration has fallen off the radar as a key issue (4%, down from 14% in August).

“Recent events in the Middle East seem to have resulted in an uptick in foreign policy concerns among Democratic caucusgoers. It’s still a small number, but it could be helping Biden on the margins,” said Murray.

There has been a debate over the representativeness of the early contests in the Democratic nomination process. Nationally, the party includes voters with different political views as well as diverse races and ethnicities, while the first contests in Iowa and New Hampshire have almost entirely non-Hispanic white electorates. Hawkeye State caucusgoers are somewhat divided as to whether the current calendar provides adequate representation to the entire party, with 47% saying the calendar gives some types of Democratic voters more influence than others in the party’s presidential nominating process and 37% saying it gives all types of Democrats an equal voice.

At the same time, most Hawkeye State caucusgoers (68%) feel that states like Iowa and New Hampshire have the right amount of influence on who wins the party’s presidential nomination. Another 16% say these states have too much influence while 9% counter that that they do not have enough influence over the final outcome. Similarly, 52% say that having Iowa and New Hampshire go first in the nominating process makes little difference one way or the other in the likelihood that the Democratic

Party will nominate the best candidate for president. However, 31% say having these states go first makes it more likely that the Democrats will nominate the best candidate while only 9% say it makes this outcome less likely. Just over half of Hawkeye State caucusgoers (51%) would be open to the idea of one or two other states holding their presidential contests on the same day as Iowa, while 31% say this would be a bad idea. Monmouth asked these same questions in a [poll of New Hampshire](#) Democratic primary voters released last week and got very similar results.

Most Iowa Democratic caucusgoers oppose switching to a primary system. A majority of 58% say that the state should continue to hold caucuses for the presidential nomination and just 30% support moving to a primary election.

“Despite concerns that in-person caucuses do not allow for broad voter participation and the absence of a virtual caucus process to address that, Iowa Democrats are loyal to their unique system for selecting presidential nominees,” said Murray.

The *Monmouth University Poll* was conducted by telephone from January 9 to 12, 2020 with 405 Iowa voters who are likely to attend the Democratic presidential caucuses in February 2020, out of 1,033 registered Democrats and unaffiliated voters who were contacted for the poll. The question results in this release have a margin of error of +/- 4.9 percentage points. The poll was conducted by the Monmouth University Polling Institute in West Long Branch, NJ. *Please note that the trend numbers for the August poll were rebased to exclude voters who would only attend a “virtual” caucus (which is no longer an option).*

QUESTIONS AND RESULTS

(* Some columns may not add to 100% due to rounding.)

1. If the Democratic caucuses for president were today, would you support – [NAMES WERE ROTATED]? [If UNDECIDED: If you had to support one of these candidates at this moment, who do you lean toward?]

TREND: (<i>with leaners</i>)	Jan. 2020	Nov. 2019	Aug. 2019**	April 2019
Joe Biden	24%	19%	26%	27%
Bernie Sanders	18%	13%	8%	16%
Pete Buttigieg	17%	22%	8%	9%
Elizabeth Warren	15%	18%	20%	7%
Amy Klobuchar	8%	5%	3%	4%
Cory Booker	4%	2%	1%	3%
Tom Steyer	4%	3%	3%	n/a
Andrew Yang	3%	3%	1%	1%
Tulsi Gabbard	2%	2%	1%	<1%
Michael Bennet	<1%	<1%	<1%	0%
John Delaney	<1%	<1%	1%	1%
Deval Patrick	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
(VOL) Other	<1%	5%*	17%*	19%*
(VOL) No one	0%	0%	<1%	1%
(VOL) Undecided	5%	8%	10%	12%
(n)	(405)	(451)	(327)	(351)

* Includes candidates who have since dropped out.

**Excludes “virtual-only” caucus attendees from August poll.

2. Are you firmly decided on your candidate choice or are you open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night? [If OPEN: Would you rate the possibility of supporting a different candidate as high, moderate, or low?]

TREND:	Jan. 2020	Nov. 2019
Firmly decided	43%	28%
Open, high possibility	11%	16%
Open, moderate possibility	28%	37%
Open, low possibility	13%	8%
(VOL) Don't know	1%	2%
No first choice (from Q1)	5%	8%
(n)	(405)	(451)

3. Who would be your second choice if you had to make one?

TREND:	Jan. 2020	Nov. 2019	Aug. 2019**	April 2019
Elizabeth Warren	23%	17%	18%	10%
Pete Buttigieg	15%	15%	10%	6%
Bernie Sanders	14%	12%	7%	8%
Joe Biden	10%	10%	12%	12%
Amy Klobuchar	10%	9%	2%	3%
Tom Steyer	4%	3%	3%	n/a
Andrew Yang	4%	1%	2%	<1%
Cory Booker	3%	2%	5%	6%
Tulsi Gabbard	1%	1%	2%	2%
John Delaney	<1%	0%	1%	<1%
Michael Bennet	0%	<1%	<1%	0%
Deval Patrick	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
(VOL) Other	<1%	9%*	21%*	26%*
(VOL) No one	6%	3%	3%	10%
(VOL) Undecided	10%	19%	18%	18%
(n)	(405)	(451)	(327)	(351)

* Includes candidates who have since dropped out.

**Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

4. If the only viable candidates in your caucus site were the following four people who would you caucus for? [NAMES WERE ROTATED]

	Jan. 2020
Joe Biden	28%
Bernie Sanders	24%
Elizabeth Warren	16%
Pete Buttigieg	25%
(VOL) None of these/won't vote	2%
(VOL) Undecided	4%
(n)	(405)

5. I'm going to read you the names of some people who are running for president in 2020. Please tell me if your general impression of each is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion. If you have not heard of the person, just let me know. [NAMES WERE ROTATED]

TREND:	Favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Not heard of	(n)
Former Vice President Joe Biden	66%	28%	6%	0%	(405)
-- November 2019	65%	26%	9%	0%	(451)
-- August 2019*	72%	20%	8%	0%	(327)
-- April 2019	78%	14%	8%	0%	(351)
Vermont Senator Bernie Sanders	70%	22%	8%	0%	(405)
-- November 2019	61%	29%	10%	0%	(451)
-- August 2019*	58%	33%	9%	0%	(327)
-- April 2019	67%	26%	6%	0%	(351)
Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren	73%	19%	8%	1%	(405)
-- November 2019	69%	23%	8%	0%	(451)
-- August 2019*	76%	14%	8%	1%	(327)
-- April 2019	67%	20%	11%	3%	(351)
Minnesota Senator Amy Klobuchar	63%	16%	14%	8%	(405)
-- November 2019	54%	18%	22%	6%	(451)
-- August 2019*	51%	18%	26%	5%	(327)
-- April 2019	51%	10%	23%	16%	(351)
Former South Bend, Indiana Mayor Pete Buttigieg	71%	17%	9%	3%	(405)
-- November 2019	73%	10%	14%	3%	(451)
-- August 2019*	72%	9%	15%	4%	(327)
-- April 2019	45%	9%	22%	24%	(351)
New Jersey Senator Cory Booker	58%	16%	22%	4%	(405)
-- November 2019	48%	19%	28%	4%	(451)
-- August 2019*	58%	16%	25%	1%	(327)
-- April 2019	54%	16%	18%	11%	(351)
Entrepreneur Andrew Yang	57%	20%	20%	3%	(405)
-- November 2019	39%	24%	29%	7%	(451)
-- August 2019*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
-- April 2019	15%	9%	34%	42%	(351)
Former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer	46%	32%	22%	1%	(405)
-- November 2019	33%	29%	30%	8%	(451)
-- August 2019*	33%	25%	26%	15%	(327)
-- April 2019	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

* Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

6. What are the one or two most important issues to you in deciding who to support for the Democratic nomination? [LIST WAS NOT READ]

[Note: Results add to more than 100% because multiple responses were accepted]

TREND:	Jan. 2020	Aug. 2019*	April 2019	TREND:	Jan. 2020	Aug. 2019*	April 2019
Jobs, unemployment	9%	6%	13%	Schools, education	7%	7%	14%
Bills, food, groceries	1%	1%	1%	Civil rights	2%	6%	8%
College tuition, school costs	4%	4%	3%	Reproductive rights, women	6%	4%	2%
Health care	45%	56%	51%	Honesty, integrity	8%	4%	5%
Social Security, seniors	4%	3%	7%	Competence, experience	6%	4%	3%
Taxes	5%	8%	7%	Income inequality, wages	9%	6%	2%
Climate change, global warming	18%	18%	17%	Infrastructure	1%	3%	1%
Environment, pollution	8%	12%	12%	Foreign policy, world standing	16%	6%	3%
Opioids, drug use	0%	0%	0%	Balance budget	5%	4%	1%
Safety, crime	1%	2%	1%	Donald Trump, beating Trump	18%	15%	10%
Guns, gun control	4%	5%	1%	Other	6%	8%	10%
Terrorism, national security	2%	0%	4%	Don't know	2%	2%	2%
Immigration	4%	14%	14%	(n)	(405)	(327)	(351)
(n)	(405)	(327)	(351)				

* Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

7. Do you think voters in states like Iowa and New Hampshire have too much influence on who wins the party nominations for president, not enough influence, or about the right amount of influence on who wins the party nominations for president?

	Jan. 2020
Too much influence	16%
Not enough influence	9%
Right amount of influence	68%
(VOL) Don't know	7%
(n)	(405)

8. Do you think having Iowa and New Hampshire go first in the nominating process makes it more likely or less likely that the Democratic Party will nominate the best candidate for president, or do you think having those states go first makes little difference in the likelihood that Democrats will nominate the best candidate?

	Jan. 2020
More likely	31%
Less likely	9%
Little difference	52%
(VOL) Don't know	8%
(n)	(405)

9. Nationally, the Democratic Party includes voters with different political views, races and ethnicities, and other characteristics. Does the current presidential primary calendar give all types of Democratic voters an equal voice in the party's presidential nomination process or does the calendar give some types of voters more influence than others? [If MORE INFLUENCE: Is that a lot more influence or just a little more?]

	Jan. 2020
Gives all an equal voice	37%
Some have a lot more influence	14%
Some have a little more influence	20%
Some have more influence, not sure how much	13%
(VOL) Don't know	17%
(n)	(405)

10. Do you think it would be a good idea or bad idea to allow one or two other states to hold their presidential primary or caucuses on the same day as Iowa?

	Jan. 2020
Good idea	51%
Bad idea	31%
(VOL) Depends	7%
(VOL) Don't know	12%
(n)	(405)

11. Do you think Iowa should continue to hold caucuses for the presidential nomination process or should it switch to a primary?

	Jan. 2020
Continue to hold caucuses	58%
Switch to a primary	30%
(VOL) Depends	3%
(VOL) Don't know	9%
(n)	(405)

12. Will this be your first presidential caucus or have you attended the Iowa presidential caucuses in the past? [If ATTENDED IN PAST: Was that a Republican or a Democratic caucus, or both?]

TREND:	Jan. 2020	Nov. 2019	Aug. 2019*
First caucus	17%	14%	9%
Attended Republican caucus in past	1%	2%	1%
Attended Democratic caucus in past	69%	73%	80%
Attended both caucuses in the past	12%	11%	10%
(VOL) Don't Know	1%	1%	1%
(n)	(405)	(451)	(327)

* Excludes "virtual-only" caucus attendees from August poll.

METHODOLOGY

The *Monmouth University Poll* was sponsored and conducted by the Monmouth University Polling Institute from January 9 to 12, 2020 with a statewide random sample of 1,033 Iowa voters drawn from a list of registered Democratic and unaffiliated voters who voted in at least one of the last two state primary elections or the 2018 general election or have registered to vote since November 2018. This includes 471 contacted by a live interviewer on a landline telephone and 562 contacted by a live interviewer on a cell phone, in English. Results are based on 405 voters who are likely to attend the Democratic presidential caucuses in February 2020. Monmouth is responsible for all aspects of the survey design, data weighting and analysis. The full sample is weighted for age, gender, race, and education based on state voter registration list and U.S. Census information (CPS 2018 supplement). Data collection support provided by Braun Research (field) and Aristotle (voter sample). For results based on the sample of likely Democratic caucusgoers, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling has a maximum margin of plus or minus 4.9 percentage points (unadjusted for sample design). Sampling error can be larger for sub-groups (see table below). In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

DEMOGRAPHICS (weighted)	
42% Male	58% Female
19% 18-34	21% 35-49
31% 50-64	28% 65+
93% White, non-Hispanic	7% Other race, Hispanic
58% No degree	42% 4 year degree

MARGIN OF ERROR			
		unweighted sample	moe (+/-)
LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS		405	4.9%
POLITICAL IDEOLOGY	Very liberal	84	10.7%
	Somewhat liberal	94	10.1%
	Moderate, conservative	221	6.6%
GENDER	Male	168	7.6%
	Female	237	6.4%
AGE	18-49	118	9.0%
	50-64	126	8.7%
	65+	160	7.8%
COLLEGE GRADUATE	No degree	172	7.5%
	4 year degree	231	6.5%
INCOME	<\$50K	132	8.5%
	\$50 to <100K	146	8.1%
	\$100K+	98	9.9%

###

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
1. If the Democratic caucuses for president were today, would you support...[READ LIST]? [with leaners] [12 names were read. Names appearing below received support from at least one poll respondent. See press release for full list of candidates]	Joe Biden	24%	11%	16%	35%	22%	26%	13%	22%	44%
	Bernie Sanders	18%	29%	20%	13%	21%	15%	26%	16%	8%
	Elizabeth Warren	15%	25%	16%	9%	11%	17%	22%	12%	6%
	Pete Buttigieg	17%	14%	22%	15%	21%	14%	18%	20%	11%
	Amy Klobuchar	8%	5%	5%	11%	6%	9%	4%	10%	13%
	Cory Booker	4%	5%	6%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%
	Andrew Yang	3%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	5%	2%	1%
	John Delaney	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	Tulsi Gabbard	2%	0%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	0%
	Michael Bennet	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Tom Steyer	4%	1%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	4%	6%
	[VOL] Other	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	Undecided	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	7%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
1. If the Democratic caucuses for president were today, would you support...[READ LIST]? [with leaners] [12 names were read. Names appearing below received support from at least one poll respondent. See press release for full list of candidates]	Joe Biden	27%	21%	22%	24%	24%
	Bernie Sanders	19%	15%	26%	13%	12%
	Elizabeth Warren	13%	16%	19%	11%	14%
	Pete Buttigieg	17%	17%	19%	14%	20%
	Amy Klobuchar	8%	9%	4%	14%	7%
	Cory Booker	1%	7%	1%	6%	6%
	Andrew Yang	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%
	John Delaney	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%
	Tulsi Gabbard	0%	4%	3%	2%	1%
	Michael Bennet	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Tom Steyer	5%	2%	2%	9%	1%
	[VOL] Other	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	Undecided	5%	5%	1%	5%	8%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
2. Are you firmly decided on your candidate choice or are you open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night? [If "open" ASK] Would you rate the possibility of supporting a different candidate as high, moderate, or low?	Firmly decided	43%	54%	34%	41%	42%	43%	43%	43%	43%
	Open, high possibility	11%	8%	13%	12%	16%	8%	11%	11%	12%
	Open, moderate possibility	28%	25%	34%	27%	25%	30%	29%	31%	21%
	Open, low possibility	13%	7%	13%	15%	12%	13%	13%	10%	15%
	[VOL] Dont Know	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
	No first choice [Q1]	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	7%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
2. Are you firmly decided on your candidate choice or are you open to the possibility of supporting a different candidate on caucus night? [If "open" ASK] Would you rate the possibility of supporting a different candidate as high, moderate, or low?	Firmly decided	44%	41%	39%	40%	42%
	Open, high possibility	10%	14%	6%	17%	14%
	Open, moderate possibility	26%	30%	35%	27%	25%
	Open, low possibility	15%	10%	18%	9%	11%
	[VOL] Dont Know	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	No first choice [Q1]	5%	5%	1%	5%	8%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
3. Who would be your second choice if you had to make one?	Joe Biden	10%	7%	12%	11%	14%	8%	8%	11%	13%
	Bernie Sanders	14%	23%	19%	8%	11%	17%	23%	7%	10%
	Elizabeth Warren	23%	28%	22%	23%	25%	22%	28%	24%	16%
	Pete Buttigieg	15%	6%	13%	19%	11%	18%	10%	18%	18%
	Amy Klobuchar	10%	8%	13%	10%	8%	11%	4%	12%	17%
	Cory Booker	3%	5%	5%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%
	Andrew Yang	4%	3%	1%	4%	6%	2%	6%	3%	1%
	John Delaney	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Tulsi Gabbard	1%	5%	0%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%
	Tom Steyer	4%	0%	2%	7%	6%	3%	1%	8%	5%
	[VOL] Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	[VOL] No one	6%	8%	2%	6%	5%	6%	8%	3%	5%
	[VOL] Dont know	10%	7%	10%	11%	8%	11%	6%	11%	12%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
3. Who would be your second choice if you had to make one?	Joe Biden	11%	10%	13%	12%	6%
	Bernie Sanders	16%	12%	17%	12%	11%
	Elizabeth Warren	23%	24%	22%	25%	23%
	Pete Buttigieg	14%	16%	16%	17%	11%
	Amy Klobuchar	7%	14%	8%	8%	17%
	Cory Booker	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%
	Andrew Yang	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%
	John Delaney	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Tulsi Gabbard	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%
	Tom Steyer	6%	2%	5%	6%	2%
	[VOL] Other	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
	[VOL] No one	6%	4%	5%	5%	5%
	[VOL] Dont know	9%	11%	6%	8%	16%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
4. If the only viable candidates in your caucus site were the following four people who would you caucus for ... [READ NAMES]?	Joe Biden	28%	14%	20%	38%	26%	30%	13%	29%	50%
	Bernie Sanders	24%	33%	28%	18%	29%	20%	34%	20%	13%
	Elizabeth Warren	16%	29%	19%	10%	10%	21%	24%	15%	7%
	Pete Buttigieg	25%	16%	29%	27%	28%	24%	26%	28%	22%
	[VOL] None of these	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	0%	1%	4%	0%
	[VOL] Dont know	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	7%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
4. If the only viable candidates in your caucus site were the following four people who would you caucus for ... [READ NAMES]?	Joe Biden	32%	23%	25%	33%	23%
	Bernie Sanders	27%	19%	28%	20%	24%
	Elizabeth Warren	13%	21%	21%	14%	15%
	Pete Buttigieg	23%	29%	23%	27%	32%
	[VOL] None of these	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	[VOL] Dont know	4%	5%	2%	5%	6%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5A. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former Vice President Joe Biden?	Favorable	66%	49%	65%	74%	65%	66%	47%	70%	89%	66%
	Unfavorable	28%	44%	29%	21%	28%	27%	43%	25%	9%	25%
	No opinion	6%	7%	6%	5%	6%	7%	10%	5%	2%	8%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5A. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former Vice President Joe Biden?	Favorable	65%	64%	68%	66%
	Unfavorable	31%	29%	30%	22%
	No opinion	4%	7%	2%	12%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5B. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Vermont Senator Bernie Sanders?	Favorable	70%	85%	75%	61%	71%	69%	80%	70%	55%	71%
	Unfavorable	22%	10%	19%	29%	23%	21%	14%	21%	35%	19%
	No opinion	8%	5%	6%	10%	6%	10%	6%	9%	10%	9%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5B. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Vermont Senator Bernie Sanders?	Favorable	68%	76%	70%	65%
	Unfavorable	26%	16%	23%	28%
	No opinion	6%	8%	7%	8%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5C. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren?	Favorable	73%	87%	82%	63%	71%	74%	78%	71%	66%	70%
	Unfavorable	19%	7%	16%	26%	19%	19%	15%	18%	25%	20%
	No opinion	8%	6%	3%	10%	9%	7%	6%	10%	9%	9%
	Not heard of	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5C. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Massachusetts Senator Elizabeth Warren?	Favorable	76%	72%	79%	69%
	Unfavorable	17%	19%	16%	22%
	No opinion	7%	9%	4%	6%
	Not heard of	0%	0%	0%	3%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5D. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Minnesota Senator Amy Klobuchar?	Favorable	63%	51%	65%	67%	62%	63%	46%	66%	82%	57%
	Unfavorable	16%	26%	13%	14%	19%	14%	20%	15%	11%	16%
	No opinion	14%	12%	18%	12%	13%	14%	19%	14%	6%	16%
	Not heard of	8%	12%	4%	7%	7%	9%	15%	5%	1%	11%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5D. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Minnesota Senator Amy Klobuchar?	Favorable	70%	46%	71%	79%
	Unfavorable	16%	19%	17%	7%
	No opinion	10%	22%	7%	8%
	Not heard of	3%	12%	5%	6%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5E. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former South Bend, Indiana Mayor Pete Buttigieg?	Favorable	71%	58%	63%	80%	71%	71%	60%	81%	75%	68%
	Unfavorable	17%	25%	22%	10%	16%	18%	23%	9%	17%	18%
	No opinion	9%	11%	14%	6%	9%	9%	10%	8%	8%	9%
	Not heard of	3%	6%	1%	4%	4%	3%	6%	1%	1%	5%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5E. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former South Bend, Indiana Mayor Pete Buttigieg?	Favorable	75%	68%	72%	82%
	Unfavorable	15%	20%	16%	9%
	No opinion	9%	9%	10%	3%
	Not heard of	1%	2%	2%	6%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5F. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: New Jersey Senator Cory Booker?	Favorable	58%	64%	62%	54%	61%	55%	51%	66%	57%	49%
	Unfavorable	16%	13%	16%	17%	18%	14%	18%	13%	17%	18%
	No opinion	22%	20%	17%	25%	18%	25%	26%	16%	24%	28%
	Not heard of	4%	2%	5%	4%	2%	6%	5%	5%	3%	6%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5F. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: New Jersey Senator Cory Booker?	Favorable	70%	46%	66%	70%
	Unfavorable	13%	15%	17%	12%
	No opinion	14%	33%	13%	15%
	Not heard of	3%	5%	5%	3%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5G. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Entrepreneur Andrew Yang?	Favorable	57%	68%	61%	51%	64%	52%	59%	62%	47%	53%
	Unfavorable	20%	12%	18%	24%	18%	22%	14%	18%	32%	21%
	No opinion	20%	20%	17%	20%	16%	23%	21%	20%	19%	24%
	Not heard of	3%	0%	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%	1%	2%	2%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5G. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Entrepreneur Andrew Yang?	Favorable	62%	55%	63%	59%
	Unfavorable	20%	17%	22%	19%
	No opinion	14%	27%	13%	17%
	Not heard of	4%	1%	2%	5%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY			COLLEGE DEGREE
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+	No
5H. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer	Favorable	46%	37%	43%	50%	46%	45%	36%	54%	49%	43%
	Unfavorable	32%	40%	31%	30%	36%	29%	36%	27%	31%	31%
	No opinion	22%	23%	25%	19%	17%	25%	27%	18%	19%	25%
	Not heard of	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%

		COLLEGE DEGREE	INCOME		
		Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
5H. [Please tell me if your general impression is favorable or unfavorable, or if you don't really have an opinion]: Former hedge fund manager Tom Steyer	Favorable	49%	42%	48%	51%
	Unfavorable	33%	27%	33%	30%
	No opinion	16%	28%	18%	19%
	Not heard of	1%	2%	1%	0%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY	
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod. Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64
6. What are the one or two most important issues to you in deciding who to support for the Democratic nomination? [LIST WAS NOT READ. Multiple response.]	Jobs, unemployment	9%	9%	9%	8%	10%	8%	10%	11%
	Bills, food, groceries	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	College tuition, school costs	4%	7%	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	3%
	Health care	45%	42%	48%	45%	39%	50%	43%	46%
	Social Security, seniors	4%	4%	6%	4%	3%	5%	0%	4%
	Taxes	5%	2%	8%	4%	6%	4%	3%	8%
	Climate change, global warming	18%	24%	23%	13%	11%	22%	19%	14%
	Environment, pollution	8%	10%	9%	7%	7%	9%	9%	7%
	Safety, crime	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%
	Guns, gun control	4%	6%	6%	3%	1%	7%	7%	2%
	Terrorism, national security	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	Immigration	4%	8%	1%	3%	0%	6%	8%	0%
	Schools, education	7%	9%	9%	6%	6%	8%	12%	4%
	Civil rights	2%	3%	5%	1%	0%	4%	5%	2%
	Reproductive rights, women	6%	14%	6%	3%	3%	9%	10%	3%
	Honesty, integrity	8%	9%	6%	9%	5%	10%	5%	12%
	Competence, experience	6%	3%	3%	8%	6%	5%	4%	8%
	Income inequality, wages	9%	6%	18%	5%	6%	10%	12%	11%
	Infrastructure	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%
	Foreign policy, world standing	16%	18%	15%	15%	25%	10%	20%	14%
	Balance budget	5%	0%	4%	7%	9%	2%	8%	5%
	Donald Trump, beating Trump	18%	10%	18%	21%	18%	18%	9%	18%
	Other	6%	6%	4%	8%	7%	5%	4%	9%
[VOL] Dont Know	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		AGE 3-WAY	COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		65+	No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
6. What are the one or two most important issues to you in deciding who to support for the Democratic nomination? [LIST WAS NOT READ. Multiple response.]	Jobs, unemployment	6%	9%	10%	5%	13%	14%
	Bills, food, groceries	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
	College tuition, school costs	2%	2%	5%	5%	3%	3%
	Health care	46%	45%	45%	44%	49%	43%
	Social Security, seniors	10%	6%	1%	5%	4%	1%
	Taxes	4%	6%	3%	7%	3%	1%
	Climate change, global warming	17%	16%	20%	17%	19%	17%
	Environment, pollution	7%	9%	6%	9%	6%	9%
	Safety, crime	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	3%
	Guns, gun control	5%	2%	8%	5%	3%	7%
	Terrorism, national security	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%
	Immigration	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%
	Schools, education	4%	4%	11%	7%	7%	8%
	Civil rights	0%	3%	2%	4%	1%	1%
	Reproductive rights, women	4%	8%	5%	4%	6%	8%
	Honesty, integrity	7%	8%	8%	10%	6%	7%
	Competence, experience	6%	4%	8%	4%	5%	7%
	Income inequality, wages	1%	10%	7%	12%	7%	5%
	Infrastructure	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%
	Foreign policy, world standing	14%	14%	18%	15%	15%	19%
	Balance budget	0%	6%	3%	5%	6%	3%
	Donald Trump, beating Trump	30%	16%	20%	15%	17%	25%
	Other	6%	6%	7%	6%	9%	4%
[VOL] Dont Know	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
7. Do you think voters in states like Iowa and New Hampshire have too much influence on who wins the party nominations for president, not enough influence, or about the right amount of influence on who wins the party nominations for president?	Too much influence	16%	29%	16%	11%	14%	17%	24%	11%	8%
	Not enough influence	9%	5%	7%	12%	10%	9%	5%	13%	11%
	Right amount of influence	68%	59%	69%	71%	74%	64%	65%	65%	75%
	[VOL] Dont Know	7%	6%	7%	7%	2%	10%	5%	10%	5%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
7. Do you think voters in states like Iowa and New Hampshire have too much influence on who wins the party nominations for president, not enough influence, or about the right amount of influence on who wins the party nominations for president?	Too much influence	15%	17%	21%	11%	15%
	Not enough influence	13%	5%	13%	9%	5%
	Right amount of influence	64%	74%	56%	75%	78%
	[VOL] Dont Know	9%	4%	10%	5%	2%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
8. Do you think having IA and NH go first in the nominating process makes it more likely or less likely that the Democratic Party will nominate the best candidate for president, or do you think having those states go first makes little difference ...	More likely	31%	33%	32%	29%	32%	29%	28%	31%	33%
	Less likely	9%	19%	7%	6%	8%	10%	16%	3%	7%
	Little difference	52%	38%	55%	57%	53%	52%	50%	58%	50%
	[VOL] Dont Know	8%	10%	6%	8%	7%	9%	6%	9%	11%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
8. Do you think having IA and NH go first in the nominating process makes it more likely or less likely that the Democratic Party will nominate the best candidate for president, or do you think having those states go first makes little difference ...	More likely	27%	35%	25%	34%	36%
	Less likely	11%	7%	12%	6%	5%
	Little difference	54%	50%	58%	51%	55%
	[VOL] Dont Know	8%	8%	6%	10%	3%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY	
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64
9. [diversity intro] Does the current presidential primary calendar give all types of Democratic voters an equal voice in the party's presidential nomination process or does the calendar give some types of voters more influence than others?	Gives all an equal voice	37%	21%	32%	45%	34%	38%	30%	42%
	Some have A LOT more influence	14%	25%	10%	11%	13%	14%	21%	8%
	Some have A LITTLE more influence	20%	23%	20%	20%	23%	18%	18%	26%
	Some have more influence - not sure how much	13%	18%	13%	10%	14%	12%	15%	7%
	[VOL] Dont Know	17%	12%	24%	14%	16%	17%	15%	17%

		AGE 3-WAY	COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		65+	No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
9. [diversity intro] Does the current presidential primary calendar give all types of Democratic voters an equal voice in the party's presidential nomination process or does the calendar give some types of voters more influence than others?	Gives all an equal voice	40%	38%	34%	42%	35%	35%
	Some have A LOT more influence	9%	14%	13%	16%	12%	12%
	Some have A LITTLE more influence	18%	16%	26%	18%	23%	19%
	Some have more influence - not sure how much	15%	13%	12%	10%	12%	16%
	[VOL] Dont Know	19%	18%	15%	14%	17%	19%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
10. Do you think it would be a good idea or bad idea to allow one or two other states to hold their presidential primary or caucuses on the same day as Iowa?	Good idea	51%	61%	49%	48%	52%	50%	61%	50%	37%
	Bad idea	31%	29%	32%	30%	31%	30%	22%	32%	41%
	[VOL] Depends	7%	4%	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	6%	8%
	[VOL] Dont Know	12%	6%	12%	14%	10%	13%	10%	11%	14%

Monmouth University Poll -- IOWA -- DEMOCRATS LIKELY CAUCUSGOERS -- 1/13/20

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
10. Do you think it would be a good idea or bad idea to allow one or two other states to hold their presidential primary or caucuses on the same day as Iowa?	Good idea	54%	46%	55%	49%	52%
	Bad idea	28%	34%	29%	32%	29%
	[VOL] Depends	8%	5%	5%	7%	7%
	[VOL] Dont Know	9%	15%	11%	12%	12%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
11. Do you think Iowa should continue to hold caucuses for the presidential nomination process or should it switch to a primary?	Continue to hold caucuses	58%	49%	69%	58%	61%	56%	56%	48%	73%
	Switch to a primary	30%	37%	23%	30%	30%	30%	31%	39%	20%
	[VOL] Depends	3%	6%	0%	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	0%
	[VOL] Dont Know	9%	8%	9%	8%	5%	12%	8%	11%	7%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
11. Do you think Iowa should continue to hold caucuses for the presidential nomination process or should it switch to a primary?	Continue to hold caucuses	57%	60%	63%	53%	56%
	Switch to a primary	29%	31%	25%	34%	36%
	[VOL] Depends	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%
	[VOL] Dont Know	10%	7%	10%	9%	7%

		TOTAL	POLITICAL IDEOLOGY			GENDER		AGE 3-WAY		
			Very Lib	Somewhat Lib	Mod, Con	Male	Female	18-49	50-64	65+
12. Will this be your first presidential caucus or have you attended the Iowa presidential caucuses in the past? [IF ATTENDED: Was that a Republican or a Democratic caucus, or both?]	First caucus	17%	28%	23%	10%	13%	20%	25%	17%	6%
	Attended Rep caucus	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%
	Attended Dem caucus	69%	69%	66%	71%	70%	68%	65%	68%	75%
	Attended both	12%	4%	10%	16%	14%	10%	9%	15%	12%
	[VOL] Dont Know	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%

		COLLEGE DEGREE		INCOME		
		No	Yes	<\$50K	\$50-100K	\$100K+
12. Will this be your first presidential caucus or have you attended the Iowa presidential caucuses in the past? [IF ATTENDED: Was that a Republican or a Democratic caucus, or both?]	First caucus	23%	8%	24%	13%	13%
	Attended Rep caucus	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
	Attended Dem caucus	64%	75%	60%	75%	75%
	Attended both	10%	14%	13%	11%	9%
	[VOL] Dont Know	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%